

OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

65 East State Street, Suite 312.

Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 466-0880

CB 308

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

Revised 6/90

IMPORTANT: Applicant should consult the "Instructions for Completion of Project Application" for assistance in the proper completion of this form.

APPLICANT NAME

City of North College Hill

STREET

1646 W. Galbraith Road

CITY/ZIP

North College Hill 45239

PROJECT NAME

Savannah Avenue Phase II

PROJECT TYPE

Rehab

TOTAL COST

\$ 275,000.00

DISTRICT NUMBER

2

COUNTY

Hamilton

90 SEP 14 P 3: 58

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY ENGINEER

PROJECT LOCATION ZIP CODE

45239

DISTRICT FUNDING RECOMMENDATION

To be completed by the District Committee ONLY

RECOMMENDED AMOUNT OF FUNDING:

\$ 185,000.00

FUNDING SOURCE (Check Only One):

State Issue 2 District Allocation

☒ Grant

☐ Loan

☐ Loan Assistance

☐ State Issue 2 Small Government Fund

☐ State Issue 2 Emergency Funds

☐ Local Transportation Improvement Fund

FOR OPWC USE ONLY

OPWC PROJECT NUMBER: _____

OPWC FUNDING AMOUNT: \$ _____

1.0 APPLICANT INFORMATION

1.1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE
OFFICER
TITLE
STREET

Daniel R. Brooks
Mayor
1646 W. Galbraith Road

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

North College Hill 45239
(513) 521 - 7413
() -

1.2 CHIEF FINANCIAL
OFFICER
TITLE
STREET

George Snyder
Clerk/Treasurer
1646 W. Galbraith Road

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

North College Hill 45239
(513) 521 - 7413
() -

1.3 PROJECT MGR
TITLE
STREET

Wm. R. McCormick/Joseph M. Allen Co.
City Engineer
1947 Auburn Avenue

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Cincinnati 45219
(513) 721 - 5500
(513) 721 - 0607

367-1688

1.4 PROJECT CONTACT
TITLE
STREET

Fran Shocket
Safety/Service Director
1646 W. Galbraith Road

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

North College Hill 45239
(513) 521 - 7413
() -

1.5 DISTRICT LIAISON
TITLE
STREET

William Brayshaw, P.E., P.S.
Chief Deputy Engineer
Hamilton Co. Engineer's Office
223 W. Galbraith

CITY/ZIP
PHONE
FAX

Cincinnati 45215
(513) 761 - 7400
(513) 761 - 9127

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

IMPORTANT: If project is multi-jurisdictional in nature, information must be consolidated for completion of this section.

2.1 **PROJECT NAME:** Savannah Avenue Phase II

2.2 **BRIEF PROJECT DESCRIPTION - (Sections A through D):**

A. **SPECIFIC LOCATION:** See attached map

B. PROJECT COMPONENTS:

Remove existing asphalt to subgrade, improve storm sewer elements, straighten reverse curve for better sight distance, remove and replace curb, construct flexible pavement roadway.

C. PHYSICAL DIMENSIONS/CHARACTERISTICS:

D. DESIGN SERVICE CAPACITY:

IMPORTANT: Detail shall be included regarding current service capacity vs proposed service level. If road or bridge project, include ADT. If water or wastewater project, include current residential rates based on monthly usage of 7,756 gallons per household.

It serves North College Hill and City of Cincinnati tying in to Galbraith to North Bend.

$$2700 \text{ VPD} \times 1.2 = 3400 \text{ VPD}$$

2.3 **REQUIRED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

(Photographs/Additional Description; Capital Improvements Report; Priority List; 5-year Plan; 2-year Maintenance of Effort report, etc.) Also discuss the number of temporary and/or fulltime jobs which are likely to be created as a result of this project. Attach Pages. Refer to accompanying instructions for further detail.

3.0 PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

3.1 PROJECT ESTIMATED COSTS (Round to Nearest Dollar):

a)	Project Engineering Costs:	
	1. Preliminary Engineering	\$ _____
	2. Final Design	\$ _____
	3. Construction Supervision	\$ _____
b)	Acquisition Expenses	
	1. Land	\$ _____
	2. Right-of-Way	\$ _____
c)	Construction Costs	\$ 250,000.00
d)	Equipment Costs	\$ _____
e)	Other Direct Expenses	\$ _____
f)	Contingencies	\$ 25,000.00
g)	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	\$ 275,000.00

3.2 PROJECT FINANCIAL RESOURCES (Round to Nearest Dollar and Percent)

	Dollars	%
a)	Local In-Kind Contributions *	
b)	Local Public Revenues	
c)	Local Private Revenues	
d)	Other Public Revenues	
	1. ODOT	
	2. FMHA	
	3. OEPA	
	4. OWDA	
	5. CDBG	
	6. Other <u>Munic. Road Funds (MRF)</u>	
e)	OPWC Funds	
	1. Grant	
	2. Loan	
	3. Loan Assistance	
f)	TOTAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES	
	\$ 90,000.00	33
	\$ 185,000.00	67
	\$ _____	
	\$ _____	
	\$ 275,000.00	100

* If the required local match is to be 100% In-Kind Contributions, list source of funds to be used for retainage purposes:

3.3 AVAILABILITY OF LOCAL FUNDS

Indicate the status of all local share funding sources listed in section 3.2(a) through 3.4(c). In addition, if funds are coming from sources listed in section 3.2(d), the following information must be attached to this project application:

- 1) The date funds are available;
- 2) Verification of funds in the form of an agency approval letter or agency project number. Please include the name and number of the agency contact person.

3.4 PREPAID ITEMS

Definitions:

Cost -	Total Cost of the Prepaid Item.
Cost Item -	Non-construction costs, including preliminary engineering, final design, acquisition expenses (land or right-of-way).
Prepaid -	Cost items (non-construction costs directly related to the project), paid prior to receipt of fully executed Project Agreement from OPWC.
Resource Category -	Source of funds (see section 3.2).
Verification -	Invoice(s) and copies of warrant(s) used to for prepaid costs, accompanied by Project Manager's Certification (see section 1.4).

IMPORTANT: Verification of all prepaid items shall be attached to this project application.

	<u>COST ITEM</u>	<u>RESOURCE CATEGORY</u>	<u>COST</u>
1)	_____	_____	\$ _____
2)	_____	_____	\$ _____
3)	_____	_____	\$ _____
TOTAL OF PREPAID ITEMS			\$ _____

3.5 REPAIR/REPLACEMENT or NEW/EXPANSION

This section need only be completed if the Project is to be funded by SI2 funds:

TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT REPAIR/REPLACEMENT	\$ 275,000.00	100 %
State Issue 2 Funds for Repair/Replacement (Not to Exceed 90%)	\$ 185,000.00	67
TOTAL PORTION OF PROJECT NEW/EXPANSION	\$ _____	_____%
State Issue 2 Funds for New/Expansion (Not to Exceed 50%)	\$ _____	_____

4.0 PROJECT SCHEDULE

	ESTIMATED START DATE	ESTIMATED COMPLETE DATE
4.1 ENGR. DESIGN	____/____/____	12 / 01 / 88
4.2 BID PROCESS	03 / 18 / 91	04 / 10 / 91
4.3 CONSTRUCTION	05 / 05 / 91	09 / 05 / 91

5.0 APPLICANT CERTIFICATION

The Applicant Certifies That:

As the official representative of the Applicant, the undersigned certifies that: (1) he/she is legally empowered to represent the applicant in both requesting and accepting financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code and 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; (2) that to the best of his/her knowledge and belief, all representations that are a part of this application are true and correct; (3) that all official documents and commitments of the applicant that are a part of this application have been duly authorized by the governing body of the Applicant; (4) and, should the requested financial assistance be provided, that in the execution of this project, the Applicant will comply with all assurances required by Ohio law, including those involving minority business utilization, Buy Ohio, and prevailing wages.

IMPORTANT: Applicant certifies that physical construction on the project as defined in this application has not begun, and will not begin, until a Project Agreement on this project has been issued by the Ohio Public Works Commission. Action to the contrary is evidence that OPWC funds are not necessary to complete this project.

IMPORTANT: In the event of a project cost underrun, applicant understands that the identified local match share (sections 3.2(a) through 3.2(c)) will be paid in full toward completion of this project. Unneeded OPWC funds will be returned to the funding source from which the project was financed.

Daniel R. Brooks, Mayor

Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)

Daniel R. Brooks 9-14-90

Signature/Date Signed

Applicant shall check each of the statements below, confirming that all required information is included in this application:

- | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A <u>five-year Capital Improvements Report</u> as required in 164-1-31 of the Ohio Administrative Code and a <u>two-year Maintenance of Local Effort Report</u> as required in 164-1-12 of the Ohio Administrative Code. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A registered professional engineer's estimate of useful life as required in 164-1-13 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> . |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A registered professional engineer's estimate of cost as required in 164-1-14 and 164-1-16 of the Ohio Administrative Code. Estimate shall contain engineer's <u>original seal and signature</u> . |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | A certified copy of the legislation by the governing body of the applicant authorizing a designated official to submit this application and to execute contracts. |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | YES
N/A | A copy of the cooperation agreement(s) (for projects involving more than one subdivision or district). |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | YES
N/A | Copies of all invoices and warrants for those items identified as "pre-paid" in section 4.4 of this application. |

6.0 DISTRICT COMMITTEE CERTIFICATION

The District Integrating Committee for District Number 2 Certifies That:

As the official representative of the District Public Works Integrating Committee, the undersigned hereby certifies: that this application for financial assistance as provided under Chapter 164 of the Ohio Revised Code has been duly selected by the appropriate body of the District Public Works Integrating Committee; that the project's selection was based entirely on an objective, District-oriented set of project evaluation criteria and selection methodology that are fully reflective of and in conformance with Ohio Revised Code Sections 164.05, 164.06, and 164.14, and Chapter 164-1 of the Ohio Administrative Code; and that the amount of financial assistance hereby recommended has been prudently derived in consideration of all other financial resources available to the project. As evidence of the District's due consideration of required project evaluation criteria, the results of this project's ratings under such criteria are attached to this application.

DONALD C. SCHRAMM, CHAIRMAN DISTRICT #2 INTEGRATING COMMITTEE

Certifying Representative (Type Name and Title)

Donald C. Schramm 11/2/90

Signature/Date Signed

5 YEAR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM

1991	Savannah Avenue Various Street Improvements
1992	Marvin Richard 1700 Block Sundale Dallas Clovernoil Box Culvert
1993	Hamilton Avenue South
1994	Hamilton Avenue North
1995	Clovernook Box Culvert



north college hill, ohio

1646 w. galbraith road
cincinnati, ohio 45239

TWO YEAR MAINTENANCE & LOCAL EFFORT REPORT

- 1988 Reconstruction of Galbraith & Hamilton Intersection
 Reconstruction of Savannah Avenue
 Reconstruction of Tarawa, Bobolink & Shamrock
 Reconstruction of Betts Avenue

- 1989 Reconstruction of Gilbert & Bising
 Street Rehab of Dallas, Prospect & Sundale

- 1990 Reconstruction of LaBoiteaux Avenue

Requested by: Streets + Highways

RESOLUTION 12-1990

AUTHORIZING MAYOR TO SUBMIT ISSUE II APPLICATION 1991
AND EXECUTE PROJECT AGREEMENT WITH THE
OHIO PUBLIC WORKS COMMISSION

BE IT RESOLVED, by the Council of the City of North College Hill,
State of Ohio, a majority of the members elected thereto
concurring:

Section 1.

Council directs the Mayor to submit 1991 Issue II Application
to the District Public Works Integrating Committee.

Section 2.

Council directs the Mayor to execute a Project Agreement with
the Ohio Public Works Commission.

Passed this 4th day of September, 1990

Kathy Warner
President of Council

Attest:


Approved this 4th day of September, 1990

D. R. Burt
Mayor


Colleen M. Burt
Clerk of Council

USEFUL LIFE EXPECTANCY CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that upon successful completion of the Savannah Avenue Phase II Improvements Project, the useful life expectancy will be at least 20 years.



Joseph M. Allen, P.E.




ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE
SAVANNAH AVENUE PHASE II


ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	EST. QUANT.	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
201	Clearing & Grubbing (including tree trimming)	LS	<u>1</u>	<u></u>	<u>2,000.00</u>
202	Removal of Concrete Drive Aprons	SY	<u>2,200</u>	<u>7.00</u>	<u>15,400.00</u>
202	Excavation of Roadway	SY	<u>4,000</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>8,000.00</u>
202	Existing Catch Basin Removal	EA	<u>2</u>	<u>200.00</u>	<u>400.00</u>
202	Removal of Existing Sidewalk	SF	<u>1,600</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>3,200.00</u>
203	Undercut Remove & Replace	CY	<u>150</u>	<u>5.00</u>	<u>750.00</u>
203	Excavation (including asphalt and gravel drives)	CY	<u>200</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>400.00</u>
203	Embankment	CY	<u>500</u>	<u>1.00</u>	<u>500.00</u>
301	Bituminous Aggregate Base (including asphalt for drives) and Tack Coat	CY	<u>850</u>	<u>65.00</u>	<u>55,250.00</u>
404	Asphalt Concrete Surface Course (including asphalt for drives) and Tack Coat	CY	<u>175</u>	<u>65.00</u>	<u>11,375.00</u>
452	7" Plain Portland Cement for Drives	SY	<u>2,200</u>	<u>22.00</u>	<u>48,400.00</u>
603	12" RCP, Type B, 706.02 (including removal of existing pipe)	LF	<u>325</u>	<u>30.00</u>	<u>9,750.00</u>
603	15" RCP, Type B, 706.02 (including removal of existing pipe)	LF	<u>217</u>	<u>35.00</u>	<u>7,595.00</u>
604	Storm Manhole MH-3	EA	<u>4</u>	<u>1,500.00</u>	<u>6,000.00</u>


ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	EST. QUANT.	UNIT PRICE	TOTAL
604	Catch Basin CB-3	EA	13	1,500.00	19,500.00
604	Adjust Combination Manhole to Grade	EA	10	100.00	1,000.00
608	5" Plain Portland Cement for Walks	SF	1,600	4.00	6,400.00
609	ODOT Type 6 Curb including Downspout Pipe & Connection	LF	2,180	10.00	21,800.00
614	Maintaining Traffic	LS	1		12,630.00
623	Construction Layout	LS	1		15,000.00
653	2" Topsoil	CY	50	3.00	150.00
660	Sodding	SY	900	5.00	4,500.00

CONSTRUCTION COST	<u>\$250,000.00</u>
CONTINGENCIES	<u>25,000.00</u>
TOTAL	<u>\$275,000.00</u>



 Joseph M. Allen, P.E.





VICINITY MAP



north college hill, ohio

1646 w. galbraith road
cincinnati, ohio 45239

STATUS OF FUND REPORT

The City of North College Hill has applied for Municipal Road Fund Monies for the participation in the Savannah Avenue Phase II Improvements Project.

Ray E. Kelly
Auditor
11/6/90

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

TEMPORARY JOBS:

This project will result in temporary employment due to construction work. Approximately ten (10) to fifteen (15) short-term construction jobs will be created as a result of this project.

FULL-TIME JOBS:

We are not able to foresee any new, full-time employment as a result of this project.

ADDITIONAL SUPPORT INFORMATION

For 1991, jurisdictions shall complete the State application form for Issue 2, Small Government, or Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) funding. In addition, the District 2 Integrating Committee requests the following information to determine which projects are funded. Do NOT request a specific type of funding desired, as this is decided by the District Integrating Committee.

1. Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what percentage can be classified as being in poor condition, adequacy and/or serviceability?

Typical examples are:

Road percentage= $\frac{\text{Miles of road that are in poor condition}}{\text{Total miles of road within jurisdiction}}$

Storm percentage= $\frac{\text{Miles of storm sewers that are in poor condition}}{\text{Total miles of storm sewers within jurisdiction}}$

Bridge percentage= $\frac{\text{Number of bridges that are in poor condition}}{\text{Number of bridges within jurisdiction}}$

18% roads in poor condition

26% storm sewer in poor condition

2. What is the condition of the existing infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

Closed	_____	Poor	<u> X </u>
Fair	_____	Good	_____

Give a brief statement of the nature of the deficiency of the present facility such as: inadequate load capacity (bridge); surface type and width; number of lanes; structural condition; substandard design elements such as berm width, grades, curves, sight distances, drainage structures, or inadequate service capacity. If known, give the approximate age of the infrastructure to be replaced, repaired, or expanded.

This street is Phase II, Phase I was completed in 1987 from M.R.F.

The second phase will allow the design to remove the reverse curve to allow adequate sight distance. The asphalt and curbs are in a distressed condition.

3. If State Issue 2 funds are awarded, how soon (in weeks or months) after completion of the agreement with OPWC would the opening of bids occur?

Please indicate the current status of the project development by circling the appropriate answers below.

- | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|-----|
| a) Has the Consultant been selected?..... | <u>Yes</u> | No | N/A |
| b) Preliminary development or engineering completed?..... | <u>Yes</u> | No | N/A |
| c) Detailed construction plans completed?..... | <u>Yes</u> | No | N/A |
| d) All right-of-way acquired?..... | Yes | <u>No</u> | N/A |
| e) Utility coordination completed?..... | <u>Yes</u> | No | N/A |

Give estimate of time, in weeks or months, to complete any item above not yet completed.

The City is now speaking to residents to purchase property, we have attached Acquisition Plat for your review.

4. How will the proposed infrastructure activity impact the general health, welfare, and safety of the service area? (Typical examples include the effects of the completed project on accident rates, emergency response time, fire protection, health hazards, user benefits, and commerce.)

Removing the severity of the reverse curve will allow for safer travel through this street.

5. For any project involving GRANTS, the local jurisdiction must provide a **MINIMUM OF 10%** of the anticipated construction cost. Additionally, the local jurisdiction must pay 100% of the costs of preliminary engineering, inspection of construction, and right-of-way acquisition. If a project is to be funded under Issue 2 or Small Government, the costs of any betterment/expansion are 100% local. Local matching funds must either be currently on deposit with the jurisdiction, or certified as having been approved or encumbered by an outside agency (MRF, CDBG, etc.). Proposed funding must be shown on the Project Application under Section 3.2, "Project Financial Resources". For a project involving LOANS or CREDIT ENHANCEMENTS, 100% of construction costs are eligible for funding, with no local match required.

What matching funds are to be used for this project? (i.e. Federal, State, MRF, Local, etc.)

MRF

To what extent are matching funds to be utilized, expressed as a percentage of anticipated CONSTRUCTION costs?

33% of construction

6. Has any formal action by a federal, state, or local government agency resulted in a complete ban or partial ban of the use or expansion of use for the involved infrastructure? (Typical examples include weight limits, truck restrictions, and moratoriums or limitations on issuance of new building permits.) **THE BAN MUST HAVE AN ENGINEERING JUSTIFICATION TO BE CONSIDERED VALID.**

COMPLETE BAN _____

PARTIAL BAN _____

NO BAN X

Will the ban be removed after the project is completed? YES _____ NO _____

Document with specific information explaining what type of ban currently exists and the agency that imposed the ban.

7. What is the total number of existing users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Use appropriate criteria such as households, traffic counts, ridership figures for public transit, daily users, etc., and equate to an equal measurement of users:

2700 VPD x 1.2 = 3400 VPD

For roads and bridges, multiply current documented Average Daily Traffic by 1.2 occupants per car (I.T.E. estimated conversion factor) to determine users per day. Ridership figures for public transit must be documented. Where the facility currently has any restrictions or is partially closed, use documented traffic counts prior to restriction. For storm sewers, sanitary sewers, water lines, and other related facilities, multiply the number of households in the service area by four (4) to determine the approximate number of users per day.

8. The Ohio Public Works Commission requires that all jurisdictions applying for project funding develop a five year overall Capital Improvement Plan that shall be updated annually. The Plan is to include an inventory and condition survey of existing capital improvements, and a list detailing a schedule for capital improvements and/or maintenance. Both Five-Year Overall and Five-Year Issue 2 Capital Improvement Plans are required.

Copies of these Plans are to be submitted to the District Integrating Committee at the same time the Project Application is submitted.

9. Is the infrastructure to be improved part of a facility that has regional significance? (Consider the number of jurisdictions served, size of service area, trip lengths, functional classification, and length of route.) Provide supporting information.

It serves North College Hill and City of Cincinnati

OHIO INFRASTRUCTURE BOND PROGRAM (ISSUE 2)
LOCAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (LTIP)

DISTRICT 2 - HAMILTON COUNTY

1991 PROJECT SELECTION CRITERIA

JURISDICTION/AGENCY: NORTH COLLEGE HILL

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION:

SAVANNAH AVENUE PHASE II
EMERSON TO CINTL CORP LINK

PROPOSED FUNDING:

GOODMAN TO GALBRAITH
67% OPWE, 33% LOCAL

ELIGIBLE CATEGORY:

IS2, LTIP

POINTS

68 POINTS

10

1) Type of project

10 Points - Bridge, road, stormwater
5 Points - All other projects

3 10

2) If Issue 2/LTIP funds are granted, how soon after the Project Agreement is completed would a construction contract be awarded? (Even though the jurisdictions will be asked this question, the Support Staff will assign points based on engineering experience.)

R/W ACQUISITION
15 BLIGHT,
APPEARS TO
BE EMPTY
LOT

10 Points - Will definitely be awarded in 1991
5 Points - Some doubt whether it can be awarded in 1991
0 Points - No way it can be awarded in 1991

15

3) What is the condition of the infrastructure to be replaced or repaired? For bridges, base condition on latest general appraisal and condition rating.

15 Points - Poor condition
10 Points - Fair to Poor condition
5 Points - Fair condition

NOTE: If infrastructure is in "good" or better condition, it will NOT be considered for Issue 2/LTIP funding, unless it is a betterment project that will improve serviceability.

- 2 4) If the project is built, what will be its effect on the facility's serviceability?
- 5 Points - Will significantly effect serviceability
 - 4 Points -
 - 3 Points - Will moderately effect serviceability
 - 2 Points -
 - 1 Point - Will have little or no effect on serviceability

- 2 5) Of the total infrastructure within the jurisdiction which is similar to the infrastructure of this project, what portion can be classified as being in poor or worse condition, and/or inadequate in service?
- 10 Points - 50% and over
 - 8 Points - 40% to 49%
 - 6 Points - 30% to 39%
 - 4 Points - 20% to 29%
 - 2 Points - 10% to 19%
 - 0 Points - Less than 10%

- 8 6) How important is the project to the health, welfare, and safety of the public and the citizens of the District and/or the service area?
- 10 Points - Significant importance
 - 8 Points -
 - 6 Points - Moderate importance
 - 4 Points -
 - 2 Points - Minimal importance

- 8 7) What is the overall economic health of the jurisdiction?
- 10 Points - Poor
 - 8 Points -
 - 6 Points - Fair
 - 4 Points -
 - 2 Points - Excellent

- 3 8) What matching funds are being committed to the project, expressed as a percentage of the TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST? Matching funds may be local, Federal, ODOT, MRF, etc. or a combination of funds.
- 5 Points - More than 50%
 - 4 Points - 40% to 49.9%
 - 3 Points - 30% to 39.9%
 - 2 Points - 20% to 29.9%
 - 1 Point - 10% to 19.9%

MINIMUM 10% MATCHING FUNDS REQUIRED

- 2
- 9) Has any formal action by a Federal, State, or local governmental agency resulted in a partial or complete ban on the usage or expansion of the usage for the involved infrastructure? Examples include weight limits on structures and moratoriums on building permits in a particular area due to local flooding downstream. Points can be awarded ONLY if construction of the project being rated will cause the ban to be removed.

10 Points - Complete ban
5 Points - Partial ban
0 Points - No ban

- 4
- 10) What is the total number of existing daily users that will benefit as a result of the proposed project? Appropriate criteria includes traffic counts & households served, when converted to a measurement of persons. Public transit users are permitted to be counted for roads and bridges, but only when certifiable ridership figures are provided.

10 Points - 10,000 and Over
8 Points - 7,500 to 9,999
6 Points - 5,000 to 7,499
4 Points - 2,500 to 4,999
2 Points - 2,499 and Under

- 3
- 11) Does the infrastructure have regional impact? Consider originations & destinations of traffic, size of service area, number of jurisdictions served, functional classification, etc.

5 Points - Major impact
4 Points -
3 Points - Moderate impact
2 Points -
1 Point - Minimal or no impact

TOTAL AVAILABLE = 100 POINTS

68 PTS.